




TOKEN
METRICS



TECH REVIEWS



March 28, 2021



AGORIC - 82%



AGORIC

The Agoric ocap layer will include robust security properties beyond anything available today, and it will provide that security across substrates, from local machines to global blockchains. Compatibility with the ECMAScript (JavaScript) standards will let application developers leverage their skills in the world of smart contract and decentralized application (DApp) development, significantly increasing the pool of qualified programmers.

Agoric foundation in JavaScript has potential to bring in more developer pool into the blockchain smartcontract development. It also has cryptographic routing fabric for inter-chain interoperability enabling secure interaction with blockchains in Comos ecosystem. Agoic has not launched the token yet.

seed investment price	N/A
current price	N/A
current market cap	N/A
projects in the ecosystem	





KINE - 80%



Kine is a decentralized protocol which establishes general purpose liquidity pools backed by a customizable portfolio of digital assets. The liquidity pool allows traders to open and close derivatives positions according to trusted price feeds, avoiding the need of counterparties. Kine lifts the restriction on existing peer-to-pool (aka peer-to-contract) trading protocols, by expanding the collateral space to any Ethereum-based assets and allowing third-party liquidation.

seed investment price	N/A
current price	\$ 4.5
current market cap	\$ 45 M
projects in the ecosystem	Perpertual, DyDx





B-PROTOCOL - 78%



B.Protocol makes lending platforms more stable by incentivizing liquidity providers to commit to liquidation and shift the miners' proceeds back to the users.

A big part of the lending protocol value is taken by the underlying blockchain miners due to gas wars between liquidators who "fight" for undertaking borrowers under-collateralized loans.

B-Protocol Shifts the decision from miners to a smart contract eliminating the liquidators gas war costs, and gives them much more certainty. Which in turn allows the liquidators to share some of their profits with the users, and make the lending platforms more secure, as the liquidators are now more committed.

seed investment price	N/A
current price	N/A
current market cap	N/A
projects in the ecosystem	N/A





ICHI - 73%



ICHI is the governance token of the ichi.org community and platform. The ICHI community has enabled many other communities to govern their own in-house stablecoin (ICHI oneToken). ICHI decides the allowed oracles, collateral, investment strategies, etc in exchange for protocol governance rewards.

A new member stablecoin is added whenever the ICHI community votes on and passes a proposal to create a new stablecoin for that coin. The current member coins are Bitcoin (BTC), Ethereum (ETH), and Chainlink (LINK). The corresponding stablecoins are oneBTC, oneETH, and oneLINK.

seed investment price	N/A
current price	\$14
current market cap	\$38 M
projects in the ecosystem	MakerDAO





MINTEREST - 56%



Minterest claims to be the first cross chain money market lending protocol on Polkadot with improved security, scalability and speed. Minterest aims to unlock billions of USD in value across Ethereum and non-Ethereum based assets including BTC and others, with cross-chain liquidity that can be derived from many different assets in the space.

Minterest is utilizing Open Runtime Module Library (ORML) which is a community maintained collection of Substrate runtime modules. It's too early in development and needs more time to access the progress of the project.

seed investment price	N/A
current price	N/A
current market cap	N/A
projects in the ecosystem	N/A



1. AGORIC TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	Scorecard
	Innovation (out of 11)	7
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasibility - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	9
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Great (2)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	More Than 1 Hour (0)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Great (4)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	15
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Great (2)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Great (2)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	2
When does the mainnet come out?	MVP or Testnet (2)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	5
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	7
Number of active developers?	5+ (2)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Outstanding (3)	
		Total Score
		82%
		Score out of 55
		45
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	100%	

2. KINE TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	Scorecard
	Innovation (out of 11)	7
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasibility - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	10
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Great (2)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	20-50 (1)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Great (4)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	14
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Great (2)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Good (1)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	2
When does the mainnet come out?	MVP or Testnet (2)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	5
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	6
Number of active developers?	5+ (2)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Solid (2)	
		Total Score
		80%
	Score out of 55	44
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	100%	

3. B-PROTOCOL TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	Scorecard
	Innovation (out of 11)	7
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasibility - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	9
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Great (2)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	Less Than 20 Min (2)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Okay (2)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	13
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Good (1)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Good (1)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	5
When does the mainnet come out?	Launched (5)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	5
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	4
Number of active developers?	Less Than 3 (0)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Solid (2)	
		Total Score
		78%
	Score out of 55	43
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	100%	

4. ICHI TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	Scorecard
	Innovation (out of 11)	7
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasibility - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	9
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Okay (1)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Great (2)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	Less Than 20 Min (2)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Okay (2)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	11
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Bad (0)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Bad (0)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	5
When does the mainnet come out?	Launched (5)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	5
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	3
Number of active developers?	Less Than 3 (0)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Reasonable (1)	
		Total Score
		73%
		Score out of 55
		40
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	100%	

5. MINTEREST TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	Scorecard
	Innovation (out of 11)	2
How have similar projects performed?	Okay (1)	
Feasibility - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	No (-2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	8
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Okay (1)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Great (2)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	20-50 (1)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Okay (2)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	10
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Bad (0)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Good (1)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Bad (0)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	0
When does the mainnet come out?	Nothing (0)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	5
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	6
Number of active developers?	5+ (2)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Solid (2)	
		Total Score
		56%
	Score out of 55	31
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	100%	

DISCLAIMER

Token Metrics Media LLC is a regular publication of information, analysis and commentary focused especially on blockchain technology and business, cryptocurrency, blockchain-based tokens, market trends, and trading strategies.

Token Metrics Media LLC does not provide individually tailored investment advice and does not take a subscriber's or anyone's personal circumstances into consideration when discussing investments; nor is Token Metrics Media LLC registered as an investment adviser or broker-dealer in any jurisdiction.

Information contained herein is not an offer or solicitation to buy, hold or sell any security. The Token Metrics team have advised and invested in many blockchain companies. A complete list of their advisory roles and current holdings can be viewed here: <https://tokenmetrics.com/disclosures/>



TOKEN
METRICS