TOKEN METRICS



TECH REVIEWS

April 4, 2021

POOL TOGETHER - 78%



PoolTogether is a protocol for no-loss prize games on Ethereum. Modelled on the well-established concept of “no loss lotteries” and “prize savings accounts” the protocol offers a chance to win prizes in exchange for depositing funds. Even if you don’t win, you keep all your deposited funds. Prizes are made up of the interest that accrues on all users deposits. The protocol relies on the third-party random number generator service ChainLink to provide randomness to pick lottery winners.

The PoolTogether Protocol is controlled by POOL token holders. All changes to the protocol are submitted and approved. The protocol automatically distributes the POOL token to anyone who deposits into the protocol. POOL token is currently trading in Uniswap.

seed investment price	**N/A**
current price	**$ 20.13**
current market cap	**$ 33.6 M**
projects in the ecosystem	**N/A**

SOMMELIER - 76%

SOMMELIER

Sommelier consists of the Cosmos Stargate SDK, its Tendermint-based consensus layer and a decentralized, bi-directional Ethereum bridge, managed by a global network of validators. Liquidity Providers (LPs) will be able to use the Sommelier to execute complex, and automated financial transactions, such as portfolio rebalancing, limit orders, batched orders, as well as a host of other features that traders have come to expect from CeFi, but that is not currently available in DeFi. Sommelier has not launched the token yet.

Both the directional ethereum bridge and automated signature management for ethereum transactions are still a work in progress. Currently, Sommelier has a basic impermanent loss calculator for LP's and still in the early days of development.

seed investment price	**N/A**
current price	**N/A**
current market cap	**N/A**
projects in the ecosystem	**N/A**

SUPERFLUID FINANCE - 76%

Superfluid is a new token standard, with the power to describe cashflows, and execute them automatically on-chain over time in a non-interactive way. Superfluid flows are programmable, composable and modular. Our first cashflow types allow constant streams of value and one-to-many distributions. All flows are settled at the same time, based on block timestamps. This makes it possible to net inflows and outflows, increasing capital efficiency.

The superfluid protocol can be used to handle subscriptions, salaries, rewards and any composable stream of value, with continuous settlement and per-second netting for extreme capital efficiency. Superfluid is a niche display of what is possible with DeFi, by streaming money like one would stream a movie, that's continuous, real-time and programmable. The use cases highlighted need market validation as this a new category in the payment space. Superfluid has no token at the moment.

seed investment price	**N/A**
current price	**N/A**
current market cap	**N/A**
projects in the ecosystem	**Polkaswap**

POOLZ FINANCE - 69%

Poolz is a swapping protocol that enables startups and project owners to auction their tokens for bootstrapping liquidity. As the blockchain-cryptocurrency community moves closer to absolute decentralization, Poolz empowers innovators in their pre-listing phase, bringing them closer to early-stage investors.

Poolz codebase is lacking good test coverage and not well commented to follow the contracts.

seed investment price	**N/A**
current price	**$34**
current market cap	**$22 M**
projects in the ecosystem	**PAID network, DAOMaker**

1. POOL TOGETHER TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	**Scorecard**
	Innovation (out of 11)	**7**
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasability - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	**9**
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Great (2)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Easy (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	Less Than 20 Min (2)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Okay (2)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	**13**
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Good (1)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Good (1)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	**5**
When does the mainnet come out?	Launched (5)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	**5**
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	**4**
Number of active developers?	Less Than 3 (0)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Solid (2)	
		Total Score
		78%
	Score out of 55	**43**
	Innovation 20%	
	Architecture 22%	
	Code Quality 27%	
	Mainnet 9%	
	Usability 9%	
	Team 13%	
	Total 100%	

2. SOMMELIER TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	**Scorecard**
	Innovation (out of 11)	**6**
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasability - Are there too many innovations?	Maybe (1)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	**10**
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Great (2)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	20-50 (1)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Great (4)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	**13**
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Good (1)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Good (1)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	**2**
When does the mainnet come out?	MVP or Testnet (2)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	**5**
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	**6**
Number of active developers?	5+ (2)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Solid (2)	
		Total Score
		76%

Score out of 55		42
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	**100%**	

3. SUPERFLUID FINANCE REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	**Scorecard**
	Innovation (out of 11)	**7**
How have similar projects performed?	Okay (1)	
Feasability - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	**9**
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Great (2)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Not Too Complex (2)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	Less Than 20 Min (2)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Okay (2)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	**13**
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Good (1)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Good (1)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	**2**
When does the mainnet come out?	MVP or Testnet (2)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	**5**
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	**6**
Number of active developers?	5+ (2)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Solid (2)	
		Total Score
		76%

Score out of 55		42
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	**100%**	

4. POOLZ FINANCE REVIEW

Initial Screening		
	Keep researching	
Does this project need to use blockchain technology?	Yes	
Can this project be realized?	Yes	
Is there a viable use case for this project?	Yes	
Is the project protected from commonly known attacks?	Yes	
Are there no careless errors in the whitepaper?	Yes	
Projects Technology Score		
	Description	**Scorecard**
	Innovation (out of 11)	**7**
How have similar projects performed?	Great (2)	
Feasability - Are there too many innovations?	Feasible (2)	
Percentage of crypto users that will use the project?	1-5% (1)	
Is the project unique?	Yes (2)	
	Architecture (out of 12)	**7**
Overall feeling after reading whitepaper?	Okay (1)	
Resistance to possible attacks?	Okay (1)	
Complexity of the architecture?	Too Easy (1)	
Time taken to understand the architecture?	Less Than 20 Min (2)	
Overall feeling about the architecture after deeper research?	Okay (2)	
	Code Quality (out of 15)	**11**
Is the project open source?	Yes (2)	
Does the project use good code like C,C++, Rust, Erlang, Ruby, Go, Solidity, etc?	Yes (2)	
Could the project use better programming languages?	No (0)	
Github number of lines?	More Than 10K (1)	
Github commits per month?	More Than 10 (2)	
What is the quality of the code?	Good (2)	
How well is the code commented?	Good (2)	
Overall quality of the test coverage?	Bad (0)	
Overall quality of the maintainability index?	Bad (0)	
	When Mainnet (out of 5)	**5**
When does the mainnet come out?	Launched (5)	
	Usability for Infrastructure Projects (out of 5)	**5**
Is it easy to use for the end customer?	Yes (5)	
	Team (out of 7)	**3**
Number of active developers?	Less Than 3 (0)	
Developers average Git Background?	Senior (2)	
Developers coding style?	Reasonable (1)	
		Total Score
		69%

Score out of 55		38
Innovation	20%	
Architecture	22%	
Code Quality	27%	
Mainnet	9%	
Usability	9%	
Team	13%	
Total	**100%**	

DISCLAIMER

Token Metrics Media LLC is a regular publication of information, analysis and commentary focused especially on blockchain technology and business, cryptocurrency, blockchain-based tokens, market trends, and trading strategies.

Token Metrics Media LLC does not provide individually tailored investment advice and does not take a subscriber's or anyone's personal circumstances into consideration when discussing investments; nor is Token Metrics Media LLC registered as an investment adviser or broker-dealer in any jurisdiction.

Information contained herein is not an offer or solicitation to buy, hold or sell any security. The Token Metrics team have advised and invested in many blockchain companies. A complete list of their advisory roles and current holdings can be viewed here: **https://tokenmetrics.com/disclosures/**

TOKEN
METRICS